

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19340 of 2015**

- 
- 
1. Yamuna Pandey Son of Late Mangali Pandey Resident of Baighaila, P.S. Chand, District - Kaimur at Bhabhua
  2. Ram Alam Pandey Son of Late Ram Anant Pandey Resident of village - Ramauli, P.S. Chainpur, District - Kaimur at Bhabhua
  3. Prem Shankar Singh Son of Late Sumer Singh Resident of village - Kamariya, P.S. Chainpur, District - Kaimur at Bhabhua
  4. Sudama Ram Son of Late Dasarath Ram Resident of village - Kurai, P.S. Chainpur, District - Kaimur at Bhabhua
  5. Lallan Pandey Son of Late Sri Balmukund Bal Pandey Resident of village - Jagariya, P.S. Chainpur, District - Rohtas at Sasaram

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director, Secondary Education, Human Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The District Education officer, Kaimur at Bhabhua
4. The District Education Officer, Rohtas at Sasaram

... .. Respondent/s

---

---

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajani Kant Pandey, Advocate  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar, AC to GP-11

---

---

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      03-12-2018                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the  
counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners would submit that the case of the petitioners is covered by the decision in C.W.J.C. No. 11428 of 2012 dated 6.7.2012 (Annexure-8).

Without going to the nitty-gritty of this case, the writ petition is disposed of with direction to the respondents to examine the claim of the petitioners and if it is found that the



case of the petitioners is covered by the decision in C.W.J.C. No. 11428 of 2012, similar benefit should be extended to the petitioners in view of the litigation policy particularly in para-4-C thereof and judgment of the full bench reported in **2018(2) page 929.**

Necessary decision in this regard may be taken by the respondents within a maximum period of four months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

With the aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed of.

**(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)**

Ravi/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

