

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2955 of 2016

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 3894 of 2009

- =====
1. Mahendra Singh son of Late Ramjee Singh resident of Village- Sikraul Bintoli, P.S.- Sikraul Lock, District- Buxar.
 2. Prem Chand Ram son of Ramlal Ram resident of Village- Sikraul Bintoli, P.S.- Sikraul Lock, District- Buxar.
 3. Mahatam Gaurh son of Hari Nath Gaurth resident of Village- Tetrahar, P.S.- Sikraul Lock, District- Buxar.
 4. Akshaywar Gaurh son of Munni Gaurh resident of Village- Katghorwa, P.S.- Sikraul Lock, District- Buxar.
 5. Kanhaiya Ram son of Ram Nihora Prasad resident of Village- Sikraul Bintoli, P.S.- Sikraul Lock, District- Buxar.
 6. Basistha Singh son of Rampat Singh resident of Village- Letarahar, P.S.- Sikraul Lock, District- Buxar.
 7. Bansidhar Rai son of Raj Narain Rai resident of Village- Chunni, P.S.- Sikraul Lock, District- Buxar.
 8. Sudarshan Singh son of Late Girdhari Singh resident of Village- Baswa Bih, P.S.- Sikraul Lock, District- Buxar.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Sri Arun Kumar Singh, the Secretary-cum-Commissioner, Water Resources Department, Sichai Bhawan, Patna.
3. Sri Gunja Lal Ram, The Chief Engineer, Water Resources Department, Dehari.
4. Sri Hare Krishna Prasad, The Superintending Engineer, Department of Irrigation, Flood Control Circle, Buxar.
5. Sri Laxmi Narayan Paswan, The Superintending Engineer, Sone Canal Circle, Bhojpur at Arrah.
6. Sri A.N. Jha, The Executive Engineer, Sone Canal Division, Buxar.
7. Sri Ram Binod Sah, The Sub Divisional Officer, Department of Irrigation, Buxar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeet Kumar Tiwari

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chitranjan Sinha, PAAG-2

Mr. Shailendra Kumar, AC to PAAG-2

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 14-09-2018

A perusal of the order of the learned Writ Court

would show that there is no positive direction to the respondents.

In absence of a specific direction or mandamus there is no reason why this contempt application be entertained.



It is disposed off accordingly leave it open for the petitioners to get adjudicated their claims in an appropriate application and in an appropriate jurisdiction.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Arvind/-

U			
---	--	--	--

