

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7480 of 2016

=====

Ramni Raman Rakesh, Son of Hirday Paswan, Resident of village- Agaiya,
P.O.- Chhatinaki, P.S.- Sanokhar, District-Bhagalpur

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Home (Police) Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna
3. The Chairman, Central Selection Board of Constable, Patna, Bihar
4. The Secretary, Central Selection Board of Constable, Patna, Bihar
5. The Officer on Special Duty, Central Selection Board of Constable Patna, Bihar

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : None

For the Respondent : Mr. Pankaj Kumar Singh, AC to GA 9

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 05-07-2018 None appears on behalf of the petitioner. Mr. Pankaj
Kumar Singh, learned AC to GA 9 appears for the State.

Perused the records.

The petitioner prays for issuance of a writ in the nature
of mandamus commanding the respondent to appoint him on the
post of Constable.

Pleadings on record would confirm that the petitioner
has successfully completed the selection but at the stage of
verification of documents that certain doubts arose and the
selection of the petitioner was put on halt. On a representation
filed that the petitioner was informed by the Officer on Special
Duty, Central Selection Board, Bihar, Patna vide letter dated
20.01.2016 that on allegation regarding the petitioner trying to



secure appointment for committing forgery that Gardanibagh P.S. Case No. 226/15 was instituted against him under sections 417, 419, 420/34 IPC on 22.06.2015 and it is by virtue of institution of such criminal case that he has been held ineligible for appointment. The same situation is reflecting from the second letter dated 05.02.2016 of the Central Selection Board.

Be that as it may, considering the statement of the petitioner that he was successful in the selection process and it is at the stage of verification of documents that doubts have been raised, while not interfering of the decision of the respondent Selection Board as manifest from the letter dated 20.01.2016 and 05.02.2016 at Annexures 8 and 9 respectively to the writ petition which discusses the reasons therefor, I deem it proper to dispose of the writ petition with the direction to the Central Selection Board to consider the claim of the petitioner in case he succeeds in the criminal case for a doubt raised by the respondents, if not substantiated, the right if any, vested in the petitioner for the claim raised herein can not be diluted by passage of time.

The writ petition is disposed of with the direction aforementioned.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

Archana/Surendra

U			
---	--	--	--

