

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.26337 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -389 Year- 2017 Thana -MARHAURA District- SARAN

=====

1. Guddu Singh @ Guddu Kumar Singh, son of Nagendra Singh, resident
of Village- Nawada, P.S.- Isuapur, District- Chapra.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mrs. Anita Kumari Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Parmeshwar Mehta, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

5/ 02-07-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

APP for the State.

Petitioner apprehends his arrest in **Marhowrah P.S.**

Case No.389 of 2017 instituted for the offence under Section(s)
394 Indian Penal Code.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is
not named in the First Information Report. Name of the petitioner
has been disclosed by co-accused, Nitish Kumar Singh, in his
confessional statement.

It is mentioned in para 3 that the petitioner has clean
antecedents.

Case diary has been received. Learned APP has
submitted that there is no mention of criminal antecedents of the
petitioner in the case diary.



In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today in connection with **Marhowrah P.S. Case No.389 of 2017**, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the **Chief Judicial Magistrate, Saran**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C. with further conditions: (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel his bail bond and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

JA/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

