

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Appeal (DB) No.398 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -234 Year- 2013 Thana -MOKAMAH District- PATNA

=====

Shanti Devi, Wife of Late Mahendra Prasad, Resident of village- Tatua Toli, P.S.-
Mokama, District- Patna.

.... Appellant

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Vijay Kumar
3. Vikram Kumar @ Vicky, No. 2 & 3 Sons of Late Narayan Das, Residents of
Mohalla- Doctor Toli, Ward No. 7, P.S.- Mokama, District- Patna.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr.

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT KUMAR
SRIVASTAVA**

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR MISHRA

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT KUMAR SRIVASTAVA)

Date: 19-06-2018

Heard learned counsel for the appellant as well as
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State on the point of
admission.

This appeal has been filed against the judgment of
acquittal dated 12.01.2018 passed by learned 1st Additional Sessions
Judge, Barh, Patna in Sessions Trial No. 550 of 2015 by which and
whereunder he acquitted the respondents no. 2 and 3 of the charges
framed against them for the offences punishable under Sections 120-
B/34, 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 25(1-b)A, 26 and



27 of the Arms Act.

Perusal of impugned judgment goes to show that none had seen the actual killing of the deceased and the entire prosecution case hinges upon circumstantial evidences. The learned court below dealt with all the circumstantial evidences separately and after discussing the evidences available on the record passed the impugned judgment of acquittal. We do not find any perversity or illegality into the impugned judgment of acquittal.

Accordingly, this criminal appeal stands dismissed on admission stage itself.

(Hemant Kumar Srivastava, J)

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

SHAHZAD/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	25.06.2018
Transmission Date	25.06.2018

