

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.27405 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -130 Year- 2013 Thana -MOTIHARI MUFA SIL District-
EASTCHAMPARAN(MOTIHARI)

- =====
1. Dharmendra Manjhi, Son of Batahu Manjhi
 2. Rameshwar Manjhi, Son of Yogi Manjhi
- Both r/o village - Musahari Tola, Basman Pur, P.S. - Muffasil, Motihari,
District - East Champaran.
3. Vedamin Devi @ Vedami Devi @ Bedami Devi, Wife of Binod Manjhi,
resident of village - Mishrauliya, Police Station - Chiraiya, District -
East Champaran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhurendra Kumar, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Navin Kumar Pandey, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

4 27-06-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the

State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in **Motihari**
Muffasil P.S. Case No. 130 of 2013 instituted for the offence
under Sections 366(A)/120(B) of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that
in the instant case police after investigation has submitted charge
sheet on 30.11.2017 showing the petitioner No. 3 as not sent up
for trial and submitted charge sheet against petitioners No. 1 and 2
along with other accused persons for the offence under Sections
366 and 376 of the Indian Penal Code and 3/4 of POCSO Act



showing them as absconder. In such circumstances, the petitioner Nos. 1 and 2 are absconding since investigation and charge sheet has been submitted against them showing them absconder.

Therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to petitioner Nos. 1 and 2.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner Nos. 1 and 2 stands rejected.

Petitioners Nos. 1 and 2 may surrender before the court below and make prayer for regular bail.

So far petitioner No. 3 namely, Vedamin Devi @ Vedami Devi @ Bedami Devi is concerned, police has submitted final form against her showing her as not sent up for trial.

Therefore, the anticipatory bail filed on behalf of petitioner No. 3 is dismissed as withdrawn as she has no apprehension of arrest.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

S.Ali/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

