

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.209 of 2016

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 3136 of 2011

=====

Sandhya Thakur wife of Mohan Prasad Thakur Resident of village + Post -
Palahi, P.S. - Sadar Muzaaffarpur, District - Muzaaffarpur.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary namely Dharmendra Singh Gangwar son of not known to the petitioner Earstwhile Human Resource Development Department (Presently known as Department of Education), Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. Lalanjee son of not known to the petitioner The Director, Primary Education, Earstwhile Human Resource Development Department (Presently known as Department of Education), Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. Omkar Prasad Singh son of not known to the petitioner The Deputy Director Primary Education, Earstwhile Human Resource Development Department (Presently known as Department of Education), Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. Bimla Kumari wife/daughter of not known to the petitioner, The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Tirhut Division, Muzaaffarpur.
5. Narayan Ranjan Kumar Verma son of not known to the petitioner, District Education Officer, Vaishali.
6. Ganesh Dutta Jha son of not known to the petitioner District Education Officer, Muzaaffarpur.
7. Krishna Singh son of not known to the petitioner, District Education Officer, Darbhanga.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prabhat Kumar Singh, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. SC-6 M. K. Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

4 12-09-2018 In view of the statements made in the show cause, this Court does not find a case of willful disobedience of the interim order passed by the learned writ court.

It is pointed out that the connected writ applications the leading case being the case of Ram Gopal Rai with which the present



case was also tagged had already been dismissed in the year 2014 and the appeal preferred against the order of dismissal of writ application has also failed.

In the aforementioned circumstance the consequential order was passed. The contempt application cannot proceed in the given facts and circumstance.

It is disposed off.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Ved/-

U			
---	--	--	--

