

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2670 of 2015

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16542 of 2012

-
-
1. Dr. Sukeshwar Prasad, Son of Late Udit Narayan Prasad, Resident of Mohalla - Adarsh Nagar, District - Samastipur presently residing at H.N. 477, SFS Flats, Sector-11, Rohini, Delhi - 110085.
 2. Dr. Md. Sabir Anjum, Son of Late Abdul Mallick, Resident of 6A, Indrapuri, Raja Bazar, P.S. - Shastri Nagar, District - Patna.
 3. Dr. Gyanendra Prasad Singh, Son of Late Gouri Shankar Singh, Resident of Kishori Shankar Niwas, Jaitpur Compound, Maripur, P.S. - Kazi Mohammadpur, District - Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through The Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Old Secretariat Building, Patna - 800 015 Namely Mr. Anjani Kumar.
2. Mr. Narmdeshwar Lal, the Principal Secretary, Animal Husbandry and Fisheries Department, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat Building, Bailey Road, Patna - 800 001.
3. Mr. Devendra Prasad, the Joint Secretary, Animal Husbandry and Fisheries Department, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat Building, Bailey Road, Patna - 800 001.
4. Mr. Kanhaiya Prasad Srivastava, The Deputy Secretary, Animal Husbandry and Fisheries Department, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat Building, Bailey Road, Patna - 800 001.
5. Mr. Alok Ranjan Ghosh the Director, Animal Husbandry and Fisheries Department, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat Building, Bailey Road, Patna - 800 001.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Verma, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Ms. Ratna Kumari, AC to PAAG 2

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
ORAL ORDER

3 17-01-2018 Considering the fact that the only direction issued was to consider the case of the petitioners for promotion and grant it if juniors have been granted promotion, from the show cause filed by the respondents it is seen that even though Departmental Promotion Committee have made certain recommendations, but the Department did not accept the same.



For the reasons indicated in the show cause notice, now it is not a fit case where action for contempt should be initiated. In case, the petitioners have any grievance with regard to the manner in which consideration is made, they shall have liberty to challenge it afresh in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid liberty to the petitioners, the application stands disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

Sunil/-

U			
---	--	--	--

