

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13192 of 2015**

=====

M/s Aditya Shree Engicons (P) Limited, having its registered office at 31, Shakti Niwas, West Gandhi Maidan, Court Area, Horilganj, District-Jehanabad through its Managing Director, Mr. Ranjeet Ranjan, son of Late V.N. Singh, resident of 31, Shakti Niwas, West Gandhi Maidan, Court Area, Horilganj, Police Station- Jehanabad Town, District- Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Minor Water Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Minor Water Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Engineer-in-chief, Minor Water Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Tender Committe through its Chairman, Minor Water Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Chief Engineer, Minor Water Resources Department, Patna.
6. The Superintending Engineer, Gaya Circle, Minor Water Resources Department.
7. The Executive Engineer, Work Division Jehanabad, Minor Water Resources Department.
8. Mr. Bindeshwar Ram, the Chief Engineer, Minor Water Resources Department, Patna.
9. M/s Baidyanath Construction Private Limited through its Managing Director, having its registered office at East Gandhi Maidan, District-Jehanabad.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent/s : Mr.Amit Bhushan, AC to GP 17

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN**

**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**Date : 21-12-2018**

As none appeared on behalf of the petitioner the matter was passed over for a few cases. However, on repeat call the petitioner was again not represented.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for quashing



the decision taken by the tender committee dated 25.07.2015 whereby the technical bid of the private respondent has illegally been declared successful and quashing of consequential award of tender to the private respondent as the authorities have acted illegally and arbitrarily; and for connected reliefs.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents states that the contract in question was for a period of one year, which period has since elapsed and the matter has clearly become infructuous by efflux of time.

4. Having regard to the stand of the respondents, the writ petition stands dismissed.

**(Vikash Jain, J)**

Chandran/-

|                          |            |
|--------------------------|------------|
| <b>AFR/NAFR</b>          | NAFR       |
| <b>CAV DATE</b>          | NA         |
| <b>Uploading Date</b>    | 22.12.2018 |
| <b>Transmission Date</b> | NA         |

