

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.18290 of 2018**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -342 Year- 2017 Thana -BAHADURPUR District- DARBHANGA

1. Ram Swaroop Yadav, son of Ramashish Yadav, resident of village-  
Bisbiga, P.S.- Bahadurpur, District- Darbhanga.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... .... Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shahnawaz Ali, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3/ 25-04-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

APP for the State.

Petitioner apprehends his arrest in **Bahardupur P.S.**

**Case No.342 of 2017** instituted for the offence under Section(s)

147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 324, 325, 307, 379 and 504 Indian

Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that there is case and counter case between the parties on account of land dispute. Petitioner is cousin of the Informant. All the injuries are simple in nature. This case is counter blast of Bahadurpur P.S. Case No.341 of 2017 lodged by the petitioner against the informant and others.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of



the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today in connection with **Bahardupur P.S. Case No.342 of 2017**, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the **Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Darbhanga**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C. with further conditions: (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel his bail bond and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

JA/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

