

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3126 of 2014

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.111 of 2012

=====

Smt. Renu Devi aged about 58 years, Wife of Dr. Chandrika Prasad Vidyarthi, resident of C/O Alok Kumar Chaudhary in Jhabarmal Gali, in front of Sarawagi School, Police Station Dehri-On-Sone, District-Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Sri Deepak Kumar, The Principal Secretary, Department of Medical Education, Health and Family Planning, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
3. Sri Sanjay Kumar, the Accountant General, (Accounts and Entitlement), Bihar, Patna.
4. Sri Arun Kumar Mishra, the Director, Provident Fund, Bihar, Patna.
5. Dr. Chandrika Prasad Vidyarthi, Retired Medical Officer, Department of Medical Education, health And Family Planning, Government of Bihar, Patna. Son of Jhauri Prasad, resident of Village Rasulpur, Post office Sanda, Police Station Dhanarua, District Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rupak Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Ms. Roona, Advocate
For A.G. Bihar	:	Mr. Satyendra Kumar Jha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 04-10-2018

1. Present application is preferred by the applicant–wife making a grievance with respect to non-compliance of the order passed by this Court on 17.07.2013 in C.W.J.C. No. 111/2012.
2. Today, when the present application is taken up for further hearing, learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant has stated at the Bar that the learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent has handed over a Bankers' Cheque



amounting to Rs. 1,25,000/- and 2 Bank Drafts each of Rs. 40,000/- (total amounting to Rs. 80,000/-), i.e. in all Rs. 2,05,000/-.

3. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-husband has stated at the Bar that all the aforesaid Drafts and Bankers' Cheque shall be encashed as and when the same are deposited and if it is found that any of the Cheque/Drafts is dishonoured, the necessary consequences may follow, including the contempt of the Court.

4. Now, responsibility remains with respect to Rs. 40,000/-. It is the case on behalf of the respondent-husband that Rs. 40,000/- is deposited and lying with the Family Court at Sasaram. The aforesaid submission is disputed by the learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant.

5. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent to give particulars with respect to the deposit of amount of Rs. 40,000/- lying with the Family Court, Sasaram to the learned advocate appearing on behalf of the applicant within a period of two weeks from today and thereafter it will be open for the applicant to withdraw the said amount.



6. Thus, considering the aforesaid, it can be said that the order passed by the learned Single Judge has been substantially complied with.

7. Under the circumstances, the application stands disposed of. As the application stands disposed of, the earlier stay order naturally stands vacated.

(Mukesh R. Shah, CJ)

K.C.Jha/Uma/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	06.10.2018
Transmission Date	N/A

