

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7436 of 2016

=====

Md. Shamimuddin Son of Md. Nasiruddin resident of Bahpura, P.S Bihta,
District Patna Pin Code801505

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary (Mr. K.K. Pahtak) Registration , Excise and Prohibition Department Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Inspector General of Registration, Excise and Prohibition Department , Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Assistant Inspector General of Registration, Excise and Prohibition Department , Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate Cum District Registrar, Patna.
5. The District Sub-Registrar, Registry Office, Patna.
6. The Sub-Registrar, Registry Office, Phulwari Sharif, District Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nafisuzzoha
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Manish Kumar
AC to A.A.G. - 6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 06-12-2018 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and

the State.

The petitioner has sought quashing of letter no.

1699, dated 01.04.2016 so far as it relates to him, issued

by the Principal Secretary, Registration, Excise and

Prohibition Department, Government of Bihar, Patna

(Respondent No. 1), by which the District Magistrate – cum

– Registrar of all the districts of the State of Bihar have been

directed to take steps for cancelling the license of the Deed



Writers, as they had gone on lightening strike on 30.03.2016 and 31.03.2016, causing huge revenue losses to the State of Bihar as well as for quashing the office letter no. 1597, dated 28.03.2016, issued by the Inspector General of Registration, Patna (Respondent No. 2), by which the Collector – cum – District Registrar (Respondent No. 7) has been directed to cancel the license of those Deed Writers, who had proceeded on strike on 30.03.2016.

The petitioner has also challenged the office order no. 35/2016, dated 01.04.2016, issued by the District Sub-Registrar, Patna (Respondent No. 8), by which the license of the petitioner has been cancelled with immediate effect in the light of the order dated 28.03.2016, referred-to-above of Respondent No. 7.

The issue involved in the present writ petition is exactly similar to the issue raised in C.W.J.C. No. 16655 of 2017 and other analogous cases, which have already been disposed off by this Court vide order dated 04.12.2018.

In that view of the matter, the present writ petition also stands allowed in terms of the order dated 04.12.2018



passed in C.W.J.C. No. 16655 of 2017 and other analogous cases.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

skm/-

U			
---	--	--	--

