

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12132 of 2014

- =====
1. Noor Alam, Son of Late Md. Yasin, Resident of Village- Semra, P.O- Thawe, P.S.- Gopalganj, District- Gopalganj
 2. Abu Mohammad, Son of Late Abdussamad, Resident of Village- Semra, P.O- Thawe, P.S.- Gopalganj, District- Gopalganj
 3. Ramayan Chaudhary, Son of Late Potan Chaudhary, Resident of Village- Semra, P.O- Thawe, P.S.- Gopalganj, District- Gopalganj
 4. Anwat Chaudhary, Son of Late Butan Chaudhary, Resident of Village- Semra, P.O- Thawe, P.S.- Gopalganj, District- Gopalganj
 5. Ratan Chandra Khanna, Son of Late- Chunnilal Khanna, Resident of Village- Chhawahi Khas, P.S.- Manjha Garh, District- Gopalganj.
 6. Mohammad Mustufa, Son of Late Najmul Haque, Resident of Village- Rampur Bhaishahi, P.O- Mateyan Khas, P.S.- Kuchaikote, Distt- Gopalganj
 7. Mohammad Muslim Ansari, Son of Late Imteyaz Ahmad, Resident of Indrawan Abadullah, P.O- Thawe, P.S.- Gopalganj, Distt- Gopalganj.
 8. Mazharul Haque Ansari, Son of Gulzar Hussain, Resident of Village- Indarwan Abadullah, P.O- Thawe, P.S.- Gopalganj, Distt- Gopalganj.
 9. Ameerj Ansari, Son of Late Safar Ali Mia, Resident of Village- Hasanpur, P.O- Hasanpur, P.S.- Sidhwalia, Distt- Gopalganj.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Primary Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Regional Dy. Director of Education, Saran Division at Chhapra.
5. The District Magistrate, Gopalganj
6. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Gopalganj.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shafiur Rahman, Advocate Mr. Arun Kumar Prasad, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Prabhakar Jha, GP-27 Mr. Mukund Mohan Jha, AC to GP-27

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 24-09-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and State.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioners submits that the case of the petitioners is covered by the



decision of this Court dated 06.04.2018 passed in C.W.J.C. 2926 of 2018,

3. In view of the averments made by the writ petitioners and the fact that no counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of respondents, the writ application is disposed of with a direction to the District Programme Officer (Establishment), Gopalganj to take appropriate decision with regard to Time Bound Promotion/ACP in terms of existing norms and take final decision within a maximum period of four months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order. The respondents have also to examine the claim of petitioners in accordance with law.

4. The Court has not expressed any opinion on the merit of the writ application.

5. With the aforesaid, the writ application stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Uday/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	26.09.2018
Transmission Date	

