

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.2190 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -180 Year- 2017 Thana -SAHEBPUR KAMAL District- BEGUSARAI
=====

Mukesh Kumar S/o Late Raghunandan Yadav, R/o Village- Bakhadda,
P.S.- Sahebpur Kamal, District- Begusarai.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party
=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Nakul Kumar Jamuar, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party : APP
=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

2 16-01-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody since 26.06.2017 in connection with Sahebpur Kamal P.S. Case No. 180 of 2017 for the offences alleged under Sections 341, 307, 504, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25(1-B)a, 26, 35, 27 of the Arms Act.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in connection with alleged recovery of loaded pistol from his conscious possession as the informant being a next door neighbour of the petitioner has previous enmity with the petitioner. The alleged recovery has been made by the informant and not by the police which raises suspicion about the prosecution story.

4. Be that as it may, having regard to the entirety of the facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody since 26.06.2017 already suffered, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the



satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-V, Begusarai, in connection with Sahebpur Kamal P.S. Case No. 180 of 2017, on the following conditions:-

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(iv) The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Md. Ibrarul/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

