

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2648 of 2016

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6030 of 2015

Arjun Singh aged about 66 years, son of Late Moti Singh, resident of village-
Chaksaid, P.S.- Raja Pakar, District- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Sri Deepak Kumar Singh, name of father not known to the petitioner, the Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department, Sinchai Bhawan, Patna.
2. Sri Maharaj Ram, name of father not known to the petitioner, the Chief Engineer, Mechanical, Water Resources Department, 72/84, New Punai Chak, Patna.
3. Sri R.E. Chaurasia, name of father not known to the petitioner, the Superintending Engineer, Mechanical Circle, Gaya Camp ay Dehri, District- Rohtas.
4. Sri Suresh Yadav, name of father not known to the petitioner, the Executive Engineer, Irrigation Mechanical Division, Dehri.
5. Sri Suresh Yadav, name of father not known to the petitioner, the Executive Engineer, Irrigation Mechanical Division, Mohania.
6. Sri Shrawan Kumar, name of father not known to the petitioner, the District Provident Fund Officer, Rohtas (Sasaram).
7. Sri Sukhdeo Singh, name of father not known to the petitioner, the Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department, Ranchi (Jharkhand).
8. Sri Rameshwar Prasad, name of father not known to the petitioner, the Chief Engineer, Mechanical, Water Resources Department, Ranchi previously the office of the Chief Engineer (Irrigation Daltengang), Water Resources Department, District Palamu, Jharkhand.
9. Sri Sunil Kumar, name of father not known to the petitioner, the Executive Engineer, Irrigation Mechanical Division, Palamu (prviously the office of the North Koel Mechanical Division, Mandal, Palamu (Jharkhand).
10. Sri Gautam Guha, name of father not known to the petitioner, the Accountant General, Bihar, Birchand Patel Path, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :

For the Respondent/s : Mr. SC 27 Kinkar Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

3 21-08-2018

It appears from the show cause filed on behalf of
opposite party no. 10 that after receiving the sanction of the
benefit of ACP along with the Service Book from the opposite



party no. 5, he has already issued the revised authorities of pension and gratuity in favour of the petitioner. The other payments had already been made.

In the aforesaid view of the matter, now the order of the learned Writ Court stands complied with.

No one appears on behalf of the petitioner to press this contempt application.

Learned counsel for the State is present.

Taking note of the aforesaid submissions on behalf of the opposite party and fact that the petitioner is not pressing this application, the same is dismissed.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

avin/-

U			
---	--	--	--

