

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Letters Patent Appeal No.87 of 2016

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5473 of 2007

=====

Ram Bachan Singh son of Late Ghughuli Singh, Resident of village Jai Nagar, Gobardhanpur, P.O. Chandi, P.S. Akorhigola, District- Rohtas

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Collector, Rohtas at Sasaram
3. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Dehir, P.S.- Dehri, District- Rohtas
4. Ram Chandra singh, son of Late Babu Nand Singh
5. Ashok Singh son of Late Balrup Singh
6. Ram Bali Singh, son of Late Manik Chand Singh
7. Ram Bachan Singh, son of Sheo Pujan Singh Respondent No. 4 to 7 all are resident of village Jainagar, P.S. Akorhigola, District- Rohtas
8. Anand Singh, son of Dukhan Mahto
9. Ram Naresh Mahto son of Ram Belash Singh. Respondent No. 8 and 9 all are resident of village Sri Rampur, P.S. Sasaram, District Rohtas
10. Sukhari Ram, son of Munsu Ram
11. Faudar Ram, son of Sukhari Ram
12. Laljee Ram Son of Late Sheo Prasad Rajwar
13. Mangal Ram son of Bira Ram
14. Aganu Ram, son of Late Lotam Ram
15. Krishna Ram son of Late Lotan Ram
16. Ram Nath Ram son of Suchit Ram
17. Sri Ram, son of Suchit Ram
18. Arvind Kumar, son of Munshi Ram
19. Swami Nath Ram, son of Suchit Ram
20. Raja Ram son of Suchit Ram
21. Hari Rajwar, son of Late Dahin Rajwar
22. Basanti Devi, wife of Mukhlal Paswan
23. Alagu Ram son of Late Lotan Ram
24. Sheo Nath Ram son of Hari Nath Ram
25. Sri Bhagwan Ram son of Hari Nath Ram
26. Sheonandan Ram son of Late Halkhori Ram
27. Dasarath Ram son of Late Tribhuwan Ram
28. Jawahir Ram son of Tribhuwan Ram All respondent no. 10 to 28 are resident of village Bahoranpur, P.S. Ankorhigola, District- Rohtas
29. Sri Chhotelal Paswan, son of Late Sri Ram Paswan
30. Brij Nandan Paswan son of Late Sri Ram Paswan
31. Laljee Ram son of Late Bharathari Ram
32. Vakil Singh son of Late Motilal Singh
33. Bilash Pasi son of Late Ram Briksha Pasi
34. Deomuni Ram son of Late Rupa Ram
35. Chandrama Ram son of Late Jhagaru Ram
36. Bhagi Ram son of Late Dasari Ram
37. Sheo Muni Paswan son of Late Suchit Paswan Respondent No. 29 to 37 all are resident of village Bishun Bigha, P.S. Akorhigola, District- Rohtas
38. Guru Charan Singh son of Late Jurawan Mahto



39. Chhotelal Sharma, son of late Bal Kishun Sharma
40. Narain Kumhar son of Late Thaga Kumhar
41. Mahendra Kumhar son of Late Kanhaiya Kumhar
42. Sahendra Kumhar son of Late Kanhaiya Kumhar Respondent No. 38 to 42 all are resident of village Gobardhanpur, P.S. Akorhigola, District- Rohtas
43. Sarju Singh son of Thakur Singh, resident of village- Bagen, P.S. Akorhigola, District- Rohtas
44. Gobardhanpur Dairies and Allies Industries Co. Pvt. Ltd. through its Chairman Madhuri Devi, Daughter of Lakmeshwar Dayal wife of Late Shambhu Nath of Mohalla Lower Bazar, Taxi Stand, P.S. and District Ranchi
45. Parmeshwar Dayal, son of late Lakshmeshwar Dayal, at present resident of L T I Bagh, Surohi, Kanpur (U.P.)

... ... Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr. Rajendra Narayan Sr. Advocate Mr. Satyapal Singh Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. J. S. Arora Sr. Advocate Mr. Chandan Jha Advocate Mr. Ray Saurab Nath Advocate Mr. Alok Anand Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI
and
HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. NILU AGRAWAL
ORAL ORDER**

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI)

19 09-04-2018

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

In terms of the order of the Learned Single Judge on the basis of remand order passed, the DCLR has re-heard and decided the matter afresh (a copy of the decision so rendered has been brought on record with the supplementary affidavit filed on behalf of the appellant as Annexure – 3, dated 9th of June, 2016).

The Court is further informed that an appeal has also



been preferred against the aforesaid decision by the appellant.

If this be so, then the Court will not allow arguments on the order of the Learned Single Judge, as much has happened thereafter.

The outcome of the appeal of the appellant against the order of the DCLR shall govern the future course of litigation, if the need arises.

Appeal stands disposed off in terms of the above.

Since the appeal before the District Magistrate, Rohtas is pending since the year 2016, which is Appeal No. 01 of 2016, the District Magistrate, Rohtas is directed to hear and decide the appeal, preferably within a period of four months from the date of production / communication of a copy of this order, with due cooperation of all the parties.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

(Nilu Agrawal, J)

skm/-

U			
---	--	--	--

