

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.1266 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-6 Year-2012 Thana- NAWAKOTHI District- Begusarai

Ranjit Mahto @ Ranjeet Mahto, Son of Late Saket Mahto, Resident of Village- Samsa, Police Station- Naokoti, Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Ram Priya Saran Singh (APP)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN SHARMA

ORAL ORDER

3 24-01-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the informant and the learned counsel representing the State.

The petitioner wants to renew the prayer of bail which was earlier thrice rejected vide order dated 18.02.2016, 16.11.2016 and 19.07.2017 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 53213 of 2015, Cr. Misc. No. 45084 of 2016 and Cr. Misc. No. 28145 of 2017, on the ground that the petitioner is suffering in custody since 11.02.2015 and in near future the trial is not likely to be concluded, up till now only three prosecution witnesses have been examined. During investigation the informant has stated that Niranjana Mahto is the assailant and, as such, the petitioner deserves sympathetic consideration.

The learned A.P.P. duly assisted by the learned



counsel for the informant opposes the prayer of bail by submitting that the petitioner has got criminal antecedent and he is convict of Section 302 of the I.P.C. and now he has committed offence of Section 302 of I.P.C. During trial the witnesses examined have supported the allegation that the petitioner shot the deceased and now only I.O. is to be examined.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, at this stage, finding no good ground for reconsideration of prayer of the bail, again prayer of the bail of the petitioner stands rejected in connection with Nawkothe P.S. Case No. 06 of 2012 (S.Tr. No. 449 of 2015) pending in the court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-IV, Begusarai.

However, considering the period of detention the learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same as early as possible preferably within two months, from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the Superintendent of Police concerned also for producing the investigation officer for examination during trial.

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J.)

rajiv/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

