

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.62837 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -430 Year- 2016 Thana -MADHUBANI TOWN District-
MADHUBANI

=====

1. Pappu Singh @ Pappu Kumar @ Ranjan Kumar Das, Son of Sarv Narayan Das, Resident of Village- Mithila Colony, P.S.- Danapur, Patna, District- Patna (Bihar), Presently resident of 16-B-37-SE PCO Colony, P.S.- Durgapur, District- Durgapur (West Bengal).

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Baleshwar Kamat

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Arun Kumar Singh -5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 11-01-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with Town P.S. Case No.430 of 2016 registered for the offence punishable under Section 395 of the Indian Penal Code.

It has been submitted that neither the petitioner is named in the F.I.R. nor any incriminating material has been recovered from his possession. In course of investigation, co-accused Suraj Chauhan and Eknath Pawar (staffs of informant) were apprehended and they confessed their complicity and disclosed the name of this petitioner. The co-accused Eknath Pawar and Suraj Chauhan have been allowed bail by one of the coordinate Bench of this Court in Cr.Misc.No.27323 of 2017 and Cr.Misc.No.37848 of 2017. The petitioner is in custody since



26.07.2017 and till the date, he has not been put on T.I. Parade. There is nothing against the petitioner except a criminal case bearing Pandeshwar P.S. Case No.27 of 2017 in which he is on bail.

The learned A.P.P. for the State opposed the submission.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer of bail is allowed. The petitioner, named above, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Madhubani in connection with Town P.S. Case No.430 of 2016 (G.R. No.2615 of 2016), subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.
- (ii) The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.
- (iii) If the petitioner is found involved in future in similar type of allegation, the prosecution will have liberty to move for cancellation of bail.
- (iv) The petitioner shall cooperate in the disposal of trial and make himself available as and when required by the court and on the event of failure on his part to appear before the court below on two consecutive dates without showing any genuine reasons, the prosecution is free to move for cancellation of his bail.

Harish/-

U			
---	--	--	--

(Sanjay Kumar, J)

