

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11903 of 2014

=====

Vishwa Mohan Sinha, Son of late Lokesh Mohan Sinha, resident of- Plot No. 74,
Road No. 20, Sri Krishna Nagar, Police Station- Budha Colony, District- Patna

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The Bihar State Power Holding Company Limited through its Chairman-cum-Managing Director, Patna, Bihar
2. The Chairman cum Managing Director, Bihar State Power Holding Company Limited, Patna Bihar
3. The Director, South Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited, Patna
4. The General Manager, South Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited, Patna
5. The Electrical Executive Engineer, Divisional Electrical Supply Office, New Capital Division, PESU, Patna
6. Mr. Raj Kumar, Electrical Executive Engineer, Divisional Electrical Supply Office, New Capital, Patna
7. The Assistant Electrical Engineer, Sub-Divisional Electrical Supply Office, New Capital Division, PESU, Patna
8. The Junior Electrical Engineer, Sub-Divisional Electrical Supply Office, New Capital Division, PESU, Patna
9. Mr. Sandip Saurabh, Junior Electrical Engineer, Sub-Divisional Electrical Supply Office, New Capital Division, PESU, Patna

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar

Mr. Rajesh Ranjan, Advocates

For Respondent : Mr. Ratan Prasad Sinha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 13-09-2018

The present writ petition has been filed for the following

reliefs –

“(i) To issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus commanding the respondents authorities to restore the electrical connection to the house of the petitioner forthwith and without any delay.

(ii) To issue direction to the authorities not to create



any disturbance till the disputes inter party is resolved by the Court of competent jurisdiction.

(iii) To issue any other relief or reliefs to which the petitioner is entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the case including the damages and the cost of the present litigation.”

2. Mr. Rajesh Ranjan, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed during the pendency of the writ petition and hence, the same need not be pressed.

3. The writ petition stands disposed of.

(Vikash Jain, J)

B.T/Chandran

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	17.09.2018
Transmission Date	N.A.

