

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2983 of 2010

- =====
1. Jyotish Poddar, son of late Sunder Poddar
 2. Shanti Devi, wife of late Banarsi Choudhary
 3. Ram Briksh Raut, son of Ruplal Raut
 4. Sattah Sah, son of late Chhotkan Sah
 5. Urmila Devi, wife of Sri Baijnath Jamadar
 6. Bhogendra Jha, son of late Pola Jha
 7. Annapurna Devi, wife of late Mahendra Poddar
 8. Sushil Thakur, son of late Bajrangi Thakur
 9. Shyama Devi wife of Jagdish Prasad Singh
 10. Mahesh Prasad Mandal, son of Sri Chotu Mandal
 11. Shivnath Prasad Yadav, son of late Ram Bilas Yadav
 12. Ram Prasad Jamadar, son of late Fouzdar Jamadar
 13. Sambhu Paswan, son of late Mangal Paswan
 14. Lilla Devi, wife of Sri Chhotelal Mandal
 15. Sapan Choudhary, son of late Gopal Choudhary

All are Class IV Hosel employees, S.M.College, Bhagalpur, District
Bhagalpur

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur through the Registrar
2. The Vice Chancellor, Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur
3. The Registrar, Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur
4. The Finance Officer, Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur
5. The Principal, Sunderwati Mahila Mahavidyalaya
6. The State of Bihar, through the Commissioner cum Secretary, Department of Finance, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
7. The Commissioner cum Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
8. The Director, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Purushottam Kumar Jha

For the Respondent/s : Mr. A.K.Keshari

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
and
HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. NILU AGRAWAL

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN)

4 12-10-2018

It is pursuant to our order passed on 24.9.2018 that the

Registrar General has submitted his report which is accepted.

Heard Mr. Purushottam Kumar Jha, learned counsel for



the petitioners and learned counsel for the respondents.

This application is filed for recall of the order dated 16.7.2010 passed in L.P.A.No. 255/2003, whereby the application was disposed of as having become infructuous on the submission made by learned counsel for the appellants that the grievance does not survive.

When the matter is taken up, Mr. Purushottam Kumar Jha, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, submits that during the pendency of the matter the issue has been resolved and thus, he may be permitted to withdraw the application to enable the petitioners to pursue their other surviving grievances.

Permission is allowed.

As prayed, the application is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

(Nilu Agrawal, J)

Surendra/-

U			
---	--	--	--

