

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.62927 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-588 Year-2018 Thana- SHEKHPURA District- Sheikhpura

Mamta Devi Wife of Indradeo Yadav Resident of Village-Ward No.15, P.S.-
Sheikhpura, Distt.-Sheikhpura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Syed. Rizwanul Haque, Adv
For the State	:	Mr. Sri Madhuranand Jha, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Anil Kumar, Adv

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 22-11-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the State and learned counsel for the Informant.

Petitioner seeks bail in **Sheikhpura P.S. Case No.**
588 of 2018 registered for the offence punishable under Sections
304B/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner is of killing the sister
of the Informant for non fulfillment of demand of dowry
alongwith FIR named accused.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that
she has committed no offence and has been falsely implicated in
this case. It has been further submitted that the petitioner is the
Mother-in-Law of the deceased. The petitioner has informed
about the incident to the Informant. In the Post Mortem Report,



Doctor has opined death due to Asphyxia as a result of hanging.
Petitioner has got no criminal antecedent and is in custody since
30.08.2018.

Learned counsel for the Informant vehemently
opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of
the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon
furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like
amount each to the satisfaction of learned **Chief Judicial
Magistrate-Sheikhpura**, in connection with **Sheikhpura P.S.
Case No. 588 of 2018**, with following conditions:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient
immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court
concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and
shall be represented on each and every date fixed by the
court.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence
or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will
be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the
petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

ranjan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

