

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4391 of 2010

=====

Mostt. Parvati Devi, W/o Late Yogendra Prasad Singh, resident of village –
Rampur Chaukra, P.O. + P.S. – Piri Bazar, District – Lakhisarai.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Rural Engineering Organization (R.E.O. only), Government of Bihar, Patna namely Sri Shashi Shekhar Sharma.
2. The Engineer-in-Chief-cum-Additional Secretary-cum-Special Secretary, P.W.D. Bihar, Patna namely, Sri Baban Ram.
3. The Engineer-in-Chief-cum-Additional Secretary-cum-Special Secretary, R.E.O. Govt. of Bihar, Patna namely Sri Janak Ram.
4. The Chief Engineer, Division-I, R.E.O. Patna, namely, Sri Dharmdeo Chaudhary.
5. The Block Development Officer, Tarari, District – Bhojpur namely Sri Birendra Kumar Singh.
6. The Director General, Provident Fund, Bihar, Patna, namely Sri Anirudh Prasad Singh.
7. The District Provident Fund Officer, Bhojpur at Arrah, namely Birendra Kumar Tarun.
8. The Accountant General, Bihar Patna namely Sri Arun Kumar.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr. (Sc4)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

41. 09-10-2018

Reference may be made to the order dated

14.03.2018 passed by learned coordinate Bench of this court wherein the payments made to the petitioner on account of the interest have been shown.

Today when the matter is called out, learned counsel for the petitioner attempted to raise certain issues with regard to non-availability of the calculations of interest amount as per order of the learned writ court which has been



crystallized in the order dated 09.01.2014 passed by learned coordinate Bench of this court in the present case.

However, when this court perused the supplementary show cause filed on behalf of the opposite party sworn on 06.02.2018, it is found that Annexure-A to the said supplementary show cause is the description and details of the payments made to the petitioner. The calculation of interest has also been provided therein in the light of the order of the learned writ court which the petitioner has not challenged in Annexure-A to the show cause by filing any affidavit showing any contrary amount.

In these circumstances, this court is of the considered opinion that the contempt application cannot proceed, it is liable to be dismissed. It is dismissed, accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Rajeev/-

U			
---	--	--	--

