

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14504 of 2013

=====

Vinay Kumar Son of Late Nandeshwar Kumar Resident of Village and P.O.
Jagdishpur, P.S. Sahebganj, District - Muzaffarpur

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. Corporate Office, Plot No. 3079/3, Sadiq Nagar, J.B. Tito Marg, New Delhi - 110049 through the Chairman
2. The Chairman, the Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. Corporate Office, Plot No. 3079/3, Sadiq Nagar, J.B. Tito Marg, New Delhi - 110049
3. The General Manager, Indian Oil Corporation Ltd., 5th Floor Lok Nayak Jai Prakash Bhawan, Dak Bungalow Chowk, Patna
4. The Senior Divisional Retail Sales Manager, Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. Muzaffarpur Divisional Office, Krishna Complex, Akharaghat Road, Muzaffarpur
5. Sri Shubham Son of Sri Sachchida Nand Kumar @ Nawal Kishore Kumar Resident Of Village And P.O. Husseur, P.S. Sahebganj, District - Muzaffarpur

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Vijay Kumar Singh, Advocate.
For the Respondents : Mr. Anil Kumar Sinha, Advocate.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 01-05-2018

The present writ petition has been filed for the following reliefs –

“(I) For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of CERTIORARI for quashing the order dated 29.04.2013 passed by the respondent no. 3 whereby and whereunder the respondent no. 3 has been pleased to reject the point raised by the petitioner in his complaint dated 17.07.2012 for rejection of award of Kisan Seva Kendra (Retail Outlet) Dealer in favour of the respondent no. 5 as also to award the dealership in favour of the petitioner.

(II) For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of



CERTIORARI for quashing the final merit list prepared by the Selection Board dated 22.06.2012 prepared by the Selection Committee on the ground that the Selection Board had wrongly allotted 25 marks to the petitioner in place of 35 marks as also 35 marks allotted to the respondent no. 5 in place of 25 marks against capability to provide infrastructure and facility as also the Selection Board did not award any marks to the petitioner against Fixed and Movable Assets.

(III) For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of MANDAMUS commanding and directing the respondent Company to produce on record the letter of intent issued in favour of the respondent no. 5 by the Respondent Company with respect to award the dealership for a Kisan Seva Kendra at Nawada Location within the District of Muzaffarpur pursuant to the advertisement published in the daily Hindi News Paper "Dainik Jagaran" dated 20.08.2011 and on production the same may be quashed by issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of CERTIORARI.

(IV) For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of MANDAMUS commanding and directing the Respondent Authorities to award the dealership for Kisan Seva Kendra at Nawada Location within the District of Muzaffarpur to the petitioner.

(V) For issuance of any other appropriate writ/writs, order/orders, direction/directions for which the writ petitioner shall be found entitled under the facts and circumstances of the case."

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states on



instruction that the grievance of the petitioner has already been redressed and hence the writ petition need not be pressed.

3. Having regard to the stand of the petitioner, the writ petition accordingly stands dismissed.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Md. Ibrarul/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	02.05.2018
Transmission Date	N.A.

