

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20507 of 2011

Dilip Mahto Son Of Late Prabhu Mahto Resident Of Village - Sariya, Police Station - Dariyapur, District – Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Director (ADMINISTRATION), Human Resources Development Department, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
3. The Regional Deputy Director Of Education, Saran Pramandal, Chapra
4. The District Education Officer, Saran, Chapra
5. The Principal , Primary Teachers Education (TRAINING) College, Sonapur, Saran
6. The Block Education Extension Officer, Chapra Sadar, Saran, District - Saran
7. The District Magistrate, Saran At Chapra
8. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar Shrivastava
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Manoj Kr. Ambasta Gp14

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

7 22-06-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

The writ petition involves series disputed questions of facts and as such the court is not in a position to decide the hotly contested and seriously disputed questions of fact as the scope of the judicial review is to examine the error indecision making process and not to examine the merit of the case by entering into thicket of controversy.

In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach Civil Court for



common law remedy.

With the aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed
of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Ravi/-

U			
----------	--	--	--

