

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.25379 of 2013

=====

Ravindra Chaubey @ Ravindra Nath Choubey Son Of Late Jagdish Chaubey Resident Of Village - Ahirauli, P.S. - Buxar, Industries , District - Buxar

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. Anup Kumar Pathak Son Of Late Gupteshwar Pathak Resident Of Vill. - Bharakhara, P.S. - Dumraon, District - Buxar, At Present Resident Of Village - Ahirauli, P.S. - Buxar, Industrial , District - Buxar
2. Kamla Devi Wife Of Late Janak Choubey Resident Of Village - Ahirouli, P.S. + P.O. - Buxar Industrial District - Buxar
3. Nand Jee Choubey Son Of Late Janak Choubey Resident Of Village - Ahirouli, P.S. + P.O. - Buxar Industrial District - Buxar
4. Mohan Jee Choubey Son Of Late Janak Choubey Resident Of Village - Ahirouli, P.S. + P.O. - Buxar Industrial District - Buxar
5. Mukund Jee Choubey Son Of Late Janak Choubey Resident Of Village - Ahirouli, P.S. + P.O. - Buxar Industrial District - Buxar
6. Ritesh Choubey Son Of Late Janak Choubey Resident Of Village - Ahirouli, P.S. + P.O. - Buxar Industrial District - Buxar
7. Krishna Ojha Wife Of Parmod Ojha Resident Of Village - Sahjaul, P.S. - Shahpur, District - Buxar
8. Rinku Mishra Wife Of Amit Mishra R/O Village + P.O. Amaon, P.S. - Narhi, District - Salliya (U.P.)
9. Jai Mangal Choubey Son Of Late Jugal Kishore Choubey Resident Of Village - Ahirouli, P.S. - Buxar Industrial, District - Buxar
10. Harendra Choubey Son Of Nandjee Choubey
11. Surendra Kumar Choubey Son Of Late Jagdish Choubey Resident Of Village - Ahirauli, P.S. - Buxar Industrial Area, District - Buxar

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Shailesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 17-01-2018 This application has been filed to quash the order dated 26.09.2013 passed by 2nd Additional District Judge, Buxar in Title Appeal No.08 of 2009 whereby and whereunder the learned appellate Court admitted copy of sale deeds dated 16.08.1991 and



28.06.1991 as exhibits on behalf of the respondents.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. It appears that the respondents along with others filed Title Suit No.219 of 1996 in the court of Civil Judge, Senior Division, Buxar for declaration that the sale deed dated 16.08.1991 as forged, fabricated and void and also for declaration of his title over the land mentioned in schedule-1 of the plaint. The said suit on contest was decreed in favour of the plaintiff. The said documents were produced by the appellants before the court below and were marked as exhibits. The present documents are the same documents. These documents do not prejudice the petitioner in any way as the same were also got marked from the side of appellants.

4. In view of the above facts, this application is devoid of merit and is dismissed.

(Sanjay Kumar, J)

B.Kr./-

U			
---	--	--	--

