

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20133 of 2014

=====

Lalita Kumari Daughter of Shree Mohan Sah Goand, resident of village-
Balahum, P.S.- Darauli, District Siwan, at present working at Adarsh Kanya
Middle School, Darauli, Gram Panchayat Raj- Darauli, Block- Darauli &
District- Siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Primary and Secondary Education, Government of Bihar at Patna, District- Patna
2. The Vice-Chancellor, Indira Gandhi National Open University, Maidan Garhi, New Delhi- 110068
3. The Regional Director, Indira Gandhi National Open University, Regional Centre at Patna
4. The Regional Director, Indira Gandhi National Open University, Regional Centre at Darbhanga
5. The Regional Director, (Eastern Region), National Council For Teacher Education, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapali, Bhubneshwar, Orrisa- 751012
6. The Chairman, National Council For Teacher Education, Wing-II, Hans Bhawan- 1, Bahadur Shah Jaffar Marg, New Delhi 110002
7. The Member Secretary, National Council For Teacher Education, 15, (Wing-2), Hans Bhawan- 1, Bahadur Shah Jaffar Marg, New Delhi 110002
8. The Regional Director, National Council For Teacher Education, 20/198, Kaveri Path, Near Mansarover Stadium, Mansarover, Jaipur- 302020 (Rajasthan)
9. The Secretary, State Council of Education Research and Training, Mahendru, Patna- 800006 (Bihar)
10. The District Education Officer, Siwan, District- Siwan

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Birendra Nath Mishra
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Manoj Kr. Ambastha

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

2 01-10-2018 This case was earlier listed for admission on different
dates but no one appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

As a matter of last indulgence to the counsel for the
petitioner, today this case has been listed under the heading for
“Dismissal” but even today no one appears on behalf of the



petitioner to assist the Court.

The grievance raised in the writ petition is thoroughly misconceived.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the University has submitted that apprehension of the petitioner about the training of the DPE from IGNOU is valid. In fact, such training is valid for the purpose of all benefits available to the trained teachers.

Considering the aforesaid, the present writ application is dismissed as misconceived.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

