

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.55956 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -77 Year- 2016 Thana -LAUKAHA District- MADHUBANI

=====

1. Hanuman Mahto @ Hanuman Mehta S/o Ramsevak Mahto, R/o Vill.-
Nirmali, P.S.- Nirmali, District- Supaul.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Yogesh Chandra Verma, Sernior Advocate
Mr. Jitendra kumar Bharti, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Ahtash Ali Khan, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 01-11-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

learned A.P.P. for the State.

The Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Laukaha P.S. Case No. 77 of 2016, G.R. No. 1037 of 2016,
registered for offences punishable under Sections 392 of the
Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner as per F.I.R. is that
the some miscreants intercepted the motorcycle of the informant
and snatched his motorcycle as well as cattle feed and fled away.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner
is that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and
the petitioner is not named in F.I.R. and on the confessional
statement of other co-accused persons, the petitioner has been
dragged in this case. It is also submitted that nothing has been



recovered from the possession of the petitioner and he is in custody since 21.02.2018.

Heard learned A.P.P also.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as stated above, let the petitioner, above named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jhanjharpur, Madhubani in connection with Laukaha P.S.Case No. 77 of 2016, corresponding to G.R. No. 1037 of 2016, subject to the following conditions:-

- i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.
- ii) The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.
- iii) It is made clear that if petitioner found involved in any of the like offences, in future, prosecution is free to move for cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

Sudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

