

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.53966 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -376 Year- 2015 Thana -COMPLAINT CASE District- SUPAUL

=====

Lalan Choudhary @ Lalan Kumar Choudhary, son of Yogendra Choudhary,
resident of village- Kariho Navtola, Police Station & District- Supaul.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Rabina Devi, wife of Lalan Choudhary @ Lalan Kumar Choudhary,
daughter of Ramkrishna Choudhary, resident of village- Hardi West,
Police Station & District- Supaul.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3/ 26-10-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

APP for the State.

Petitioner seeks bail in **Complaint Case No.376-C**
of 2015 instituted for the offence under Section(s) 498A Indian
Penal Code and Section 34 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

Petitioner is husband of the Complainant. He is in
custody since 19.03.2018.

A report about present stage of the case was called
for from the Court below, which has been received, wherein, the
Court below has mentioned that case is pending for after charge
evidence. In this manner, there is no likelihood of immediate
disposal of the case within short period.



Petitioner is said to be in custody since 19.03.2018.

Keeping in view the period spent by the petitioner in custody, prayer of the petitioner for grant of bail is allowed. Let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the **Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Supaul**, in connection with **Complaint Case No.376-C of 2015**, subject to the condition that both the bailors shall be close relative of the petitioner.

The petitioner will remain present on each and every date of trial and his absence on two consecutive date(s) without any reasonable cause will make his bail bond liable to be cancelled. Further, the petitioner will not intimidate the witnesses of the case and will not hamper the trial.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

JA/-
Rohit Kr.

U		T	
---	--	---	--

