

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Appeal (SJ) No.2820 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -13 Year- 2018 Thana -LAURIYA District-
WESTCHAMPARAN(BETTIAH)

- =====
1. Dhanai Yadav @ Ravindra Yadav
 2. Shambhu Yadav Both are sons of Late Angad Yadav Resident of Village -
Maullanagar, Police Station Lauriya, District West Champaran.

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar No.7, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mrs. Usha Kumari No.1, SPP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 20-08-2018

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

This is an appeal under Section 14(A)(2) of the Scheduled
Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989
against the refusal of prayer for bail vide order dated 05.06.2018
passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge 1st -cum-Special
Judge, Bettiah West Champaran, in connection with Lauriya Police
Station Case No.13 of 2018, registered under Sections
341/323/324/379/435/504/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections
3(i)(g)(h)(II)(v)(a) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes
(Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.

There is land dispute between the parties. There is case



and counter case. Specific allegation against appellant Dhanai Yadav @ Ravindra Yadav is of commission of injury with *farsa* at the head of the informant. The doctor has found simple lacerated wound at the forehead. Appellant Shambhu Yadav allegedly assaulted with lathi.

Learned counsel for the informant opposed the prayer for bail on the ground that five criminal cases are going on against the appellants.

Considering the nature of allegation, let the appellants, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.20,000/- (Twenty Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court-below where the case is pending in connection with the aforesaid case, with condition that the appellants shall fully cooperate with the investigation/trial of the case, failing which the court below shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bond of the appellants.

Accordingly, the impugned order is set aside and appeal is allowed.

(Birendra Kumar, J)

Mkr./-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	21.08.2018
Transmission Date	21.08.2018

