

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.53930 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -77 Year- 2017 Thana -DANDARI District- BEGUSARAI

=====

1. Indrajeet Singh, Son of Late Uday Singh, Resident of Village- Tetri,
P.S.- Dandari, Distt.- Begusarai.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Deepak Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Panchanand Pandit

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

5 02-11-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in Dandari P.S. Case No.
77/2017, instituted for the offences under Sections 307, 324 and
34 of the Indian Penal Code read with Section 27 of Arms Act.

It is alleged in the written report that on the date of
occurrence, when the informant was returning from his field and
as he reached near his house, he saw the accused persons armed
with Katta. It is further alleged that Bisheshwar Yadav fired in the
air. Thereafter from the front the petitioner fired at the informant,
which hit his *Panjara*. It is further alleged that Pankaj Yadav fired
from his *katta*, which hit the left arm of informant. Cause of
occurrence is land dispute.



Injury report of the informant is available in case diary, wherein doctor has found entry wound in left arm and in chest region. One exit wound is also found on the left arm of the informant. One bullet has also been removed after thoractomy. Injuries are opined to be grievous in nature. Injury report has been issued by P.H.C., Dandari.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the written report it is alleged that informant was taken to Begusarai for treatment but injury report has been issued from P.H.C., Dandari.

In the injury report, it is mentioned that informant was referred to Sadar Hospital, Begusarai.

In view of such, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage. Accordingly, prayer for bail of petitioner is rejected.

Trial court is directed to expedite the trial.

Petitioner is given liberty to renew his prayer for bail after six months in the event no substantive progress is made in trial.

(Sanjay Priya, J.)

Rakhi

U		T	
---	--	---	--

