

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12509 of 2010

=====

1. Most.Sushila Devi W/O Late Girish Narayan Kunwar R/O Vill Sahiyardih, P.O.& P.S.Rosra, Distt-Samastipur

2. Amit Kumar Singh S/O Late Girish Narayan Kunwar R/O Vill Sahiyardih, P.O.& P.S.Rosra, Distt-Samastipur

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Chief Secretary

2. Secretary, Personnel And Administrative Reforms Department Government Of Bihar, Patna

3. Secretary-Cum-Irrigation Commissioner Government Of Bihar, Sinchai Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna

4. Secretary, Department Of Water Resources Government Of Bihar, Sinchai Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna

5. Additional Secretary, Department Of Water Resources And Flood Control Government Of Bihar, Sinchai Bhawan, Patna

6. Engineer-In-Chief, Department Of Water Resources And Flood Control Sinchai Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna

7. Chief Engineer , Department Of Water Resources Samastipur

8. Superintending Engineer, Department Of Water Resources , Flood Control Circle Samastipur

9. Executive Engineer, Flood Control Division Rosera, Distt-Samastipur

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhimanyu Vatsa,
Mr. Sudhanshi Trivedi

For the State : Mr. Archana Mukherjee, GP 6
Mr. PrabhatRanjan, AC to GP 6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

10 02-05-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the State.

In the present case, petitioner no.1 is seeking relief for regularization of service of her husband, late Girish Narayan Kunwar whose case was considered for regularization and the same was rejected.



Present case has been filed for regularization of service of her husband, inasmuch should be granted the benefit of compassionate appointment to Amit Kumar Singh, petitioner no.2 as during life time the husband of petitioner no.1 could not be regularized and after his death question of regularization does not arise as dead person cannot be regularized. Hence no benefit of compassionate appointment can be given.

In such view of the matter, this Court does not find any merit in this writ petition. Accordingly the same is rejected.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Vinay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

