

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 17507 of 2014

=====

Ranjan Kumar Chaudhary son of Late Lal Babu Chaudhary, resident of Mohalla-Karman Tola (Behind Masjid), P.S.- Ara Nawada, District- Bhojpur at present posted as Mechanic Bihar State Hydro Electricity Corporation, Anchal Arwal, Arwal, Bihar

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Energy Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Managing Director, Bihar State Hydro Electricity Corporation, Sone Bhawan, 2nd Floor, R-Block, Patna
3. The Secretary-cum-Chairman, Bihar State Hydro Electricity Corporation, Sone Bhawan, 2nd Floor, R-Block, Patna
4. The Manager, Personnel & Administrative Department, Bihar State Hydro Electricity Corporation, Sone Bhawan, 2nd Floor, R-Block, Patna
5. The Manager, Accounts, Bihar State Hydro Electricity Corporation, Sone Bhawan, 2nd Floor, R-Block, Patna

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr Bipin Bihari Singh, Advocate
For the Corporation : Mr Anand K Ojha, Advocate
For the State : Mr Karandeep Kumar, AC to GP VI

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 24-07-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the respondent-Corporation, who has resisted the claim of the petitioner.

2 Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that by issuing the appointment letter dated 06.03.2013 bearing Memo No 438, though he has been brought in the regular establishment but the same has resulted in obliteration of his past services and other benefits earned earlier. He submits, with reference to one Saroj Devi the



respondents have regularized her ad hoc appointment treating her service to be with effect from her entry as ad hoc employee.

3 Seeking parity in this respect, the petitioner has filed representation which is at Annexure 6 of the writ petition. He submits that the respondents may be directed to take final decision with respect to the petitioner's claim having regard to the benefits granted to Saroj Devi and some others if the petitioner approaches before respondent No 2 by filing a comprehensive representation within a period of four weeks from today.

4 In the event, such representation is filed, respondent No 2 would be obliged to consider the same in the background of the fact that the petitioner has been given the employment on compassionate basis in relaxation of the Rules and having regard to the parity in matters with other similarly situated persons and dispose of the same within eight weeks.

5 Writ petition stands disposed of.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

M.E.H./-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	25.07.2018
Transmission Date	NA

