

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11270 of 2014

=====

Nirmala Kumari W/o Sujay Prasad Resident of village - Par Bigha, P.S.
Hilsa, District – Nalanda Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department,
Bihar, Patna
2. District Magistrate, Nalanda
3. District Education Officer, Nalanda at Biharsharif
4. District Superintendent of Education, Nalanda at Biharsharif
5. Block Education officer, Nalanda at Biharsharif
6. Dy. Development Commissioner, Nalanda at Biharsharif
7. Block Development Officer, Hilsa, Distt. - Nalanda
8. Mukhiya Korawan Gram Panchayat, Block Hilsa, Distt. - Nalanda
9. District Teacher Employment Appellate Authority, Nalanda at Biharsharif,
through its Manager
10. District Programme Officer (Establishment), Nalanda at Biharsharif

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raj Bansh Dubey
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sc11- Ashok Kr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

3 08-08-2018 It appears that the District Programme Officer
(Establishment), Nalanda at Biharsharif is necessary party but
the petitioner has not impleaded him as party respondent in the
writ petition. Therefore, learned counsel for the petitioner is
permitted to add the District Programme Officer
(Establishment), Nalanda at Biharsharif as party respondent No.
10 during the course of the day.

The aforesaid correction may be read in the order



dated 31.07.2018 by which, while disposing of the writ petition,
the District Programme Officer, Nalanda at Bihar Sharif has been
directed to take necessary decision.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

spandey/-

U			
---	--	--	--

