

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.22 of 2015

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1850 of 1992

=====

Law Kishore Yadav son of Late Ram Chandra Yadav, Resident of Village-
Singhi Bujurg, P.O.- Pogar, P.S.- Raffiganj, District- Aurangabad

... .. Appellant

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Joint Director of Consolidation Gaya, Nawada, Aurangabad, District-
Gaya
3. Malti Devi wife of Late Kamdeo Yadav
4. Krishna Kumar Yadav, Minor son of Late Kamdeo Yadav, Under the
guardianship of his natural guardian- mother and well wisher Smt. Malti
Devi, Resident of Village- Singhi Bujurg, P.O.- Pogar, P.S.- Raffiganj,
District- Aurangabad

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sc10 Sheo Shankar Pd.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI
and

HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. NILU AGRAWAL

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI)

Date : 22-06-2018

We have heard learned counsel for the appellant and
counsel for the private respondent.

One of the reasons why we are not inclined to interfere
with the order of the learned single Judge is that not only there was
delay on the part of the present appellant to agitate the issue before
the revisional authority but also the fact that the allocation of *chak*
has been made more than 30 years ago and the parties had already
acted upon. A settled position, after three decades especially in



matters of sensitive issue of land, is not required to be reopened.

The parties must reconcile to the position now.

Appeal otherwise stands dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

(Nilu Agrawal, J)

Pawan/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	23.06.2018
Transmission Date	N/A

