

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.43277 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-38 Year-2017 Thana- NAUTAN District- Siwan

=====

AMIT KUMAR SINGH @ AMIT KUMAR ROY @ AMIT SINGH S/o
Banka Ray, R/o Vill.- Nayagaw Sohagpur, P.S.- Hathua, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Tiwary
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Rajeev Nayan

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 24-09-2018 Heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioner seeks bail in **Nautan P.S. Case No. 38 of 2017** registered for the offence punishable under Sections **379/34** of the Indian Penal Code.

Informant has alleged that on 07.03.2017 at about 12:05 Noon he was intercepted by three unknown persons on a motorcycle and they snatched away his bag containing Rs. 43,790/- and some other documents.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the name of petitioner has surfaced in this case on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused Nagendra Yadav who has been granted bail by a co-ordinate bench of this Court vide order dated 10.08.2017 in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 36209 of



2017. Nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. Petitioner is in custody since 25.05.2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned **ACJM-XII, Siwan**, in connection with **Nautan P.S. Case No. 38 of 2017**, with following conditions:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be sufficient to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

ranjan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

