

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.38027 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-6 Year-2015 Thana- PANAPUR District- Saran

Wakil Raut, S/o Late Sunar Raut, Resident of Village - Brit Bhagwanur, P.S.-
Panapur, District- Saran at Chhapra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Yashraj Bardhan

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Anand Mohan Prasad Mehta

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

3 23-08-2018 Heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioner seeks bail in **Panapur P.S. Case No. 06 of
2015** registered for the offence punishable under Sections
302/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Informant is the son of the deceased who in his
written complaint has stated that on 01.02.2015 his father had
gone to look after his field and subsequently he was informed
that his dead body is lying there and he went there along with
other family members. He suspected the name of Jhagaru and
Sunil Kumar Singh in killing of his father due to some dispute.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that
petitioner has not been named in the FIR and only suspicion has
been raised against him. During investigation the name of the



petitioner has surfaced on the basis of statement of some witnesses that petitioner had some altercation with his father and except the statement of witnesses, there is no incriminating material against the petitioner. Nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. Petitioner has got no criminal antecedent and is in custody since 11.04.2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned **Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-IV, Saran at Chapra**, in connection with **Panapur P.S. Case No. 06 of 2015**, subject to the following conditions:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be sufficient to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or



the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution
will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail
of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

ranjan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

