

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.49334 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -220 Year- 2011 Thana -AURANGABAD TOWN District-
AURANGABAD

=====

1. Dhiraj Prasad @ Dhiraj Kumar Prasad Son of Ram Niwas Prasad
Resident of Village- Kasmagarh, P.S. Gomia, District- Bokaro(Jharkhand).

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajit Kumar, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 03-10-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Aurangabad Town P.S.Case No. 220 of 2011, registered for
offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 406, 120 (B)
of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner as per F.I.R. is that
the informant handed over the second installment of the premium
amount of Insurance of Rs.45000/- to the petitioner but the same
was not deposited by the petitioner and on demanded paper, he
refused to give the same.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner
is that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and no
overt act has been attributed against the petitioner and amount has



been given to another accused and he is in custody since 27.06.2018 and other co-accused with similar allegation has been granted bail vide order dated 26.03.2018 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 16581 of 2018

Heard learned A.P.P also.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as stated above, let the petitioner, above named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Aurangabad in connection with Aurangabad Town P.S.Case No. 220 of 2011, subject to the following conditions:-

- i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.
- ii) The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

Sudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

