

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.44715 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -281 Year- 2014 Thana -DAGARUA District- PURNIA

=====

1. Jangali Yadav @ Jag Lal Yadav S/o Late Kadam Lal Yadav resident of
Village - Dhangam, P.S. Kadwa, District - Katihar.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sushil Kumar Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Dashrath Mehta

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 05-09-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Dagarua P.S.Case No. 281 of 2014, registered for offences
punishable under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner, who is not named
in the F.I.R. but later on the name of the petitioner transpires on
the confessional statement of co-accused and allegation against the
petitioner is of forcibly stopped the truck of the informant, which
was loaded with potato and assaulted the driver and helper and
took away at some another place after injecting some intoxicate
substance and the petitioner fled away with truck.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner
is that except confessional statement, there is no other allegation
and no recovery was found from the possession of the petitioner



and though he has accused in one case but he has been acquitted in the case and he is in custody since 26.04.2018.

Heard learned A.P.P also.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as stated above, let the petitioner, above named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate -2nd , Purnea in connection with Dagarua P.S.Case No. 281 of 2014, subject to the following conditions:-

- i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.
- ii) The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.
- iii) It is made clear that if petitioner found involved in any of the like offences, in future, prosecution is free to move for cancellation of his bail bonds

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

Sudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

