

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 55 of 2015

=====

Pratibha Kumari Wife of Sri Brajesh Kumar Anand Resident of village -
Halimpur, P. S - Aurai, District - Muzaffarpur (Bihar) Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
 2. The Secretary, Department of Social welfare, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
 3. The Director, Integrated Child Development Scheme (I.C.D.S), Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
 4. The Deputy Director, Department of Social Welfare, Tirhut
Commissionary, Muzaffarpur.
 5. The Commissioner, Tirhut Commissionary, Muzaffarpur.
 6. The District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur.
 7. The District Programme Officer Muzaffarpur.
 8. The Sub-Divisional Officer, East Muzaffarpur.
 9. The Child Development Project Officer Aurai, District Muzaffarpur.
 10. The Block Development Officer, Aurai, District- Muzaffarpur.
 11. Smt.Sangita kumari Henari Wife of Sri Nirmal kumar Resident of
Village - Halimpur, P.O And P.S- Aurai, District - Muzaffarpur(Bihar).
..... Respondents
- =====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Roy, Advocate
Mr. Amresh & Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advocates
For the State : Mr. Binod Ji Verma, GP 17
For respondent no.11 : Mr. S.N.Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

4 30-01-2018 Heard counsel for the petitioner and the State.

In respect of the appointment to the post of Anganwari
Sevika at Centre no. 180 under Gram Panchayat Raj Aurai,
village Halimpur, Block Aurai, District Muzaffarpur, the
petitioner had made some complaint. It appears from the
counter affidavit that in view of order dated 01.06.2007 passed
by the Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur
(respondent no.5), the Deputy Director, Department of Social
Welfare Tirhut Commissionary, Muzaffarpur (respondent no.4)



has submitted his enquiry report to the former. However, till date no final decision has been taken by the respondent no. 5 in respect of the said enquiry report.

In view of the aforesaid circumstances, since final decision is to be taken by respondent no.5 as per the averment made by the respondents themselves in the counter affidavit, the writ petition is disposed of with observation that respondent no.5 would take a final decision in respect of the enquiry report addressing the petitioner's claim after noticing and hearing the parties concerned in accordance with law. The exercise should be completed expeditiously and preferably within eight weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

Shashi.

U			
---	--	--	--

