

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.16680 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -36 Year- 2015 Thana -CIVIL LINE District- GAYA

=====

Venktesh Mistri @ Panchu, Son of Dudheshwar Mistri, Resident of
Village Pokharahan (Barahi), P.S. Goh, District- Aurangabad.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sheikh Arkan Ahmad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Anant Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

3 26-04-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

This is a case registered under Sections 366(A) of the Indian
Penal Code and 12 of the POCSO Act.

It is submitted by the petitioner's counsel that from the
statement of the victim recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C., it is
obvious that she on her own volition had gone with the petitioner
and the Doctor has assessed the age of the victim between 17-18
years. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent and is in custody
since 29.08.2016.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer for bail of
the petitioner is allowed. Let the petitioner above named be
released on bail on his furnishing bonds of Rs 10,000/- (Rupees



Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of **Additional District Judge 1st, Gaya in Civil Line P.S. Case No. 36 of 2015** subject to the conditions :

- (i) That one of the bailors will be a close relative of the Petitioner who will give an affidavit giving genealogy as to how he is related with the Petitioner. The bailor will also undertake to inform the Court if there is any change in the address of the Petitioner.
- (ii) That the Petitioner will be well represented on each date and if he fails to do so on two consecutive dates, his bail will be liable to be cancelled.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

Prakash/-

U			
---	--	--	--

