

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.22988 of 2013

=====

Zee Laboratories having its office at 47, Industrial Area, Paonta Sahib, Himachal Pradesh, through its authorized representative, Jitendra Kumar Singh, son of Shri Shyamji Singh, resident of Village and Post- Phuhan, P.S. Brhara, Dist- Bhojpur (Arrah)

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The Bihar Medical Services and Infrastructure Corporation Ltd. (BMSICL), through its Managing Director, 5th floor, BISCOMAUN Bhawan, Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
2. The Managing Director, Bihar Medical Services and Infrastructure Corporation Ltd. (BMSICL), BISCOMAUN Bhawan, Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
3. Technical Evaluation Committee through its Chairman, Bihar Medical Services and Infrastructure Corporation Ltd. BISCOMAUN Bhawan, Gandhi Maidan, Patna.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Shekhar Singh, Advocate.

For the Respondents : Mr. Siddharth Shankar Pandey, Advocate.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 05-12-2018

The present writ petition has been filed for the following reliefs -

“(A) For quashing the order bearing F No. BMCL/10050/04-2013 dated 23.09.2013 passed by respondent no. 2 i.e. Managing Director, Bihar Medical Services and Infrastructure Corporation, Patna whereby the representation filed on behalf of the petitioner in the light of the order dated 30.08.2013 passed by this Hon’ble Court in C.W.J.C. No. 15050/2013 has been rejected without due consideration of the grounds mentioned therein and the decision of the Technical Evaluation Committee dated 25.04.2013 disqualifying the bid of the petitioner company has been upheld in mechanical manner.



(B) For a direction to the respondents to declare the petitioner qualified in technical evaluation and to open the financial bid of the petitioner relating to Tender No. BMSICL/Drugs/01/2013.

(C) For any other relief/s for which the petitioner is entitled."

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the writ petition has become infructuous by efflux of time and the writ petition need not be pressed.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents appears.

4. The writ petition accordingly stands dismissed.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Ibrar/BT

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	11.12.2018
Transmission Date	N.A.

