

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.883 of 2015

=====

Rajmuni Devi wife of Sri Sanjay Ram Resident of village- Panjari, P.S. Dinara,
District- Rohtas Sasaram. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Deputy Director Welfare, Patna Pramandal Patna commissioners compound
West Gandhi Maiden, Patna.
3. District Magistrate, Rohtas Sasaram.
4. Child Development officer, Dinara, Rohtas.

... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shiopujan Singh, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ranjan Kumar, Ac to GA 12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 02-04-2018

Heard counsels for the petitioner as well as the
respondent State.

The writ petition has been filed challenging the order
issued by the District Programme Officer dated 06.03.2012 in Case
No. 62/11-12 whereby the petitioner's selection as Angan Bari Sevika
for Centre No. 29, Panjari, Block Dinara in the District of Rohtas has
been cancelled.

The allegation appears to be that the centre in question
was inspected and the irregularities were found in distribution of
T.H.R in terms of the insufficient number of beneficiaries as also
insufficient quantity of T.H.R.

It is submitted by the petitioner that due to increase in the
price of 'Dal' the quantity of T.H.R being distributed was
correspondingly lesser in respect of the various beneficiaries. Thus,
the charges are substantially admitted. He submits that on a very



minor allegation, a harsh punishment i.e., removal from service has been inflicted upon her which is disproportionate to the charge.

This Court finds that adequate opportunity has been granted to the petitioner by giving a show cause to her. The District Programme Officer has considered the defence taken by the petitioner and arrived at a finding regarding the discrepancies in distribution of T.H.R on the date of inspection. The order passed by the District Programme Officer has been appealed in Angan Bari Sevika Appeal No. 11 of 2012. The appellate authority i.e., Deputy Director Welfare has considered the petitioner’s appeal and disposed off the same by a reasoned and speaking order dated 26.04.2014.

This Court dose not find any reason to interfere with the impugned order.

The writ petition is dismissed.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

Prakash/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	5/4/2018
Transmission Date	

