

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17146 of 2017

-
-
1. Naresh Kumar Goyal, S/o Late Vishwanath Prasad Goyal, Resident of National Mill, Tekari Road, Gaya, P.S.- Kotwali, District- Gaya.
 2. Somnath Tiwari, Son of Jugal Kishore Tiwari, Resident of North Lakhibagh, P.S.- Muffasil, District- Gaya.
 3. Arun Kumar Singh, Son of Ambika Singh, Resident of Village-Kendu, P.S.- A.N.M.M. College, District- Gaya.
 4. Santosh Kumar Agrawal, Son of Late Niranjana Prasad Agrawal, Resident of Mohalla- Station Road, Gosainbagh, P.S.- Kotwali, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Transport, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The State Transport Commissioner, Department of Transport, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Finance, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Principal Secretary, Cabinet Vigilance, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya.
6. The Collector, Gaya.
7. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Gaya.
8. The Joint Commissioner-cum-Secretary, Magadh Regional Transport Authority, Magadh Division, Gaya.
9. Md. Khurshid Alam Ansari, The Present District Transport Officer, Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rohitabh Das, A.C. to AAG10

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 23-03-2018

Inter alia contending that Respondent No. 9 who is the District Transport Officer of Gaya has committed various illegalities and irregularities in the discharge of his duties and inspite of certain illegalities found by the Commissioner of the Division and the Collector of the district nothing has been done,



this petition has been filed in public interest under Article 226 of the Constitution.

Keeping in view the nature of grievance made by the petitioner and for the present without expressing any opinion on the merits of the allegation, interest of justice would be met in case Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 are directed to look into the grievance of the petitioner and proceed to take action as are permissible under law. Needless to emphasize that if any adverse action is required to be taken, it shall be incumbent upon the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to notice Respondent No. 9 herein and thereafter proceed in accordance with law. Action be taken and a communication made with regard to the same to the petitioner within a period of three months.

With the aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

P.K.P./-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	27.03.2018
Transmission Date	

