

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Letters Patent Appeal No.1802 of 2017

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14157 of 2017

=====

Kumari Priti Wife of Hari Shankar Gupta, Daughter of Sri Uma Shankar Prasad Roll No. 66010598, Merit Serial No. 108, Resident of Near E.M.S. Hostel, Anand Nagar, Siwan, P.S. - Siwan Town, District - Siwan, Bihar, PIN - 841226.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Vikas Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. The Deputy Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Vikas Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
4. The Director, Higher Education, Government of Bihar, Vikas Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
5. The Bihar Public Service Commission through the Secretary, Bailey Road, Patna.
6. The Chairman, Bihar Public Service Commission, Bailey Road, Patna.
7. The Secretary, Bihar Public Service Commission, Bailey Road, Patna.
8. The Special Secretary-cum-Examination Controller, Bihar Public Service Commission, Bailey Road, Patna.
9. Manish Kumar Jaiswal, Roll No. 66011592, Merit Serial No. 423 Residential address not known to the petitioner, through the Special Secretary-cum-Examination Controller, Bihar Public Service Commission, Bailey Road, Patna.
10. Dhananjay Singh Son of Sri Satyendra Narayan Sinha Resident of Village - Kumhra, P.O. - Karkain, P.S. - Ghoswari, District - Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====



Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Ranjeet Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Dhruva Mukherjee, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Md. Nazir Ansari, Advocate
For the B.P.S.C. : Mr. Lalit Kishore (Advocate General)
Mr. Sanjay Pandey, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)**

Date : 13-03-2018

Delay of 32 days in filing of this appeal is condoned. I.A. No. 9256 of 2017 stands allowed and disposed of.

Considering the fact that the order in question is only an interlocutory order of stay granted by the learned Writ Court and the Writ Court is already seized of the matter and we are informed that the case is running in the list for final hearing, we see no reason to make any indulgence into the matter at this interlocutory stage. We request the learned Writ Court to take up the issue in question, if running in the list, and dispose it off at an earlier date. That apart, we find that the interlocutory application filed by the appellant for modification of the interlocutory order is also pending before the learned Writ Court.

We request the Writ Court to consider the same and



pass an order on the said application for modification, in case final hearing of the matter is not possible.

With the aforesaid, the Letters Patent Appeal stands disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

P.K.P./-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	17.03.2018
Transmission Date	

