

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13050 of 2017**

---

1. Nageshwar Kumar,
2. Dudheshwar Kumar,
3. Bindeshwar Kumar, All sons of Ramdin Yadav, Resident of Village- Gangti, P.S.- Daudnagar, District- Aurangabad.
4. Birendra Kumar Singh, Son of Bilash Singh, Resident of Village- Baijnath Bigha, P.S.- Navinagar, District- Aurangabad.
5. Amarjeet Kumar, Son of Sanjay Kumar Yadav, Resident of Village- Baijnath Bigha, P.S.- Navinagar, District- Aurangabad.
6. Sanjit Kumar Singh, Son of Late Rambilash Singh, Resident of Village- Tarari, P.S.- Kutumba, District- Aurangabad.
7. Ajit Kumar,
8. Kajit Kumar, Both sons of Suryadeo Singh, Resident of Village- Surya Mandal, P.S.- Bhadya, District- Gaya.
9. Chandra Shekhar Kumar Singh, Son of Rambilash Singh, Resident of Village- Gwas, P.S.- Kutumbha, District- Aurangabad.
10. Mukesh Kumar,
11. Vikash Kumar, Both sons of Ram Ekbal Singh, Resident of Village- Sheetal Bigha, P.S.- Daudnagar, District- Aurangabad.
12. Afjal Ansari, Son of Md. Nayeem Ansari, Resident of Village- Akrha, P.S.- Daudnagar, District- Aurangabad.
13. Pankaj Tiwary, Son of Sugreev Tiwary,
14. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, Son of Singhasan Tiwary, Both (13 and 14) are resident of Village- Bhakharua, P.S.- Daudnagar, District- Aurangabad.
15. Amardhan Kumar Singh, Son of Ram Nand Singh, Resident of Village- Dadhapa, P.S.- Amba, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Secretary, Department of Administrative Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Aurangabad.
5. The Chief Engineer, Water Resources Department, Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

---

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Phulendra Kumar  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. ANJANI KUMAR-AAG4

---

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      19-03-2018      Heard.



The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners would be satisfied in case the Respondent No. 4 is directed to consider the case of the petitioners for regularization within a specified time frame.

Accordingly, the petitioners are directed to file a consolidated representation before the Respondent No. 4 within a period of two weeks from today and the Respondent No. 4 is directed to dispose of the same within a period of four week thereafter.

The writ petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

**(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)**

ajay gupta/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

