

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13585 of 2017

- =====
1. Manish Kumar Bharti, Son of Mukti Prakash Ram, resident of Raghuvirgarh, Police Station- Chainpur, District- Kaimur (Block Teacher U.M.S. Bazrahan (Kudra).
 2. Mamta Kumari, Daughter of Shiv Dulat Singh, resident of Ward No. 11, Bhabhua, Police Station-Bhabhua. (Block Teacher U.M.S. Mohanpur).
- Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department, of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Primary Education Department, of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Kaimur.
5. The District Education Officer, Kaimur.
6. The District Programme Officer, (Establishment), Kaimur.
7. The Block Education Officer, Salthua, Block-Kudra, Kaimur.
8. The Block Development Officer Cum Secretary, Salthua, Block-kudra, Kaimur.
9. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Unit Salthua, Block-Kudra, District-Kaimur.
10. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Unit Salthua, Block-Kudra, District-Kaimur.
11. The District Teacher Appointment Appellate Authority, through its Secretary, Kaimur.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Rajeev Shekhar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Ray - Sc13

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR
UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

3 22-02-2018 Vide order dated 29.11.2017, six weeks' time was granted to the respondents to file counter affidavit. Despite direction neither the counter affidavit has been filed nor the grievance has been redressed.

On identical facts situation, this Court disposed of the



writ application (C.W.J.C. No. 8154 of 2017) vide order dated 15.02.2018 considering the similar facts situation. Accordingly, this writ application is also disposed of with a direction to the respondent District Programme Officer (Establishment), Kaimur at Bhabhua, to examine the case of the petitioners and if it is found that the case of the petitioners is similar to the case of the petitioner of C.W.J.C. No. 8097 of 2017 similar treatment should be extended to these petitioners also within a maximum period of sixty days from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, along with a copy of the order of this Court.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Uday/-

U			
---	--	--	--

