

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13237 of 2017

- =====
1. Shyam Swarup Chouhan, Son of Late Ramchandra Chouhan, Resident of Village- Bhaluya-I, P.O.- Agani, P.S.- Belaganj, District- Gaya.
 2. Arun Kumar, Son of Late Kedar Prasad, Resident of Village- Bajpura, P.S.- Belaganj, District- Gaya.
 3. Ram Naresh Yadav, Son of Ram Balak Yadav, Resident of Village- Bahabalpur, P.S.- Belaganj, District- Gaya.
 4. Devnandan Yadav, Son of Late Biphan Yadav, Resident of Village- Kabirpur, P.O.- Agani, P.S.- Belaganj, District- Gaya.
 5. Bibi Saphiha, Wife of Jiyauddin, Resident of Village- Jadopur, P.S.- Belaganj, District- Gaya.

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Department of Agriculture, Patna.
2. The District Agriculture Officer, Gaya.
3. The Manager, Madhya Bihar Gramin Bank, Belaganj, Gaya.
4. The Block Agriculture Officer, Belaganj, Gaya.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Mahesh Narayan Parbat, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Jha, Advocate.

For the State : Mr. Jainendra Kumar Sinha, AC to SC-17

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 05-01-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the State.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for the
following reliefs:

“(i) For issuance of direction to the respondents to
release Rs. 60,000/- as subsidy amount on the
petitioner’s loan account in Madhya Bihar Gramin Bank,
Belaganj, which was sanctioned by the District
Agriculture Officer, Gaya for purchasing power tiller
under the Scheme of the Government of Bihar.

(ii) For issuance of direction to the respondents



concerned to take appropriate action to fix monthly instalment on the rest of the amount of loan after adjusting subsidy amount of Rs. 60,000/-, which was sanctioned by the respondent no. 2 to the petitioners.

(iii) For issuance of direction to the respondents to stay the further proceeding of recovery till disposal of the present writ application.”

3. At the very outset, this Court takes note on the submission of learned Senior counsel appearing for the respondent-Bank to the effect that the petitioners have straightway approached this Court without having filed any representation before the Bank.

4. In the above view of the matter, this Court is not inclined to enter into the merits of the claim of the petitioners. The writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to file appropriate representation before the District Agriculture Officer, Gaya (respondent no. 2) for redressal of their grievances.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Md. Ibrarul/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	09.01.2018
Transmission Date	N.A.

