

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8788 of 2017

=====

Kumari Saroj Yadav, daughter of Late Raja Ram Yadav, Wife of Shri Rohit Kumar, Resident of Village+Post Office-Saraharwa, Plice Station-Darauli, District-Siwan. At Present Posted and Working as Prakhand Teacher in Govt. Upgraded Middle School, DSaraharwa, Block-Darauli, District-Siwan.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Primary Education, Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Siwan
5. The District Education Officer, Siwan
6. The District Programme Officer, (Establishment), Siwan
7. The Block Development Officer, Darauli-Siwan.
8. The Block Education Officer, Darauli, District-Siwan
9. The Prakhand Pramukh-cum-Chairman, of Prakhand Teacher Selection Committee, Prakhand-Darauli, Block-Darauli, District-Siwan
10. The Block Development Officer-cum-Member Secretary of Prakhand Teacher Selection Committee, Block-Darauli, District-Siwan,
11. The Drawing Disbursing-Cum-Headmaster of Government Upgraded Middle School, Sarharwa, Block-Darauli, District-Siwan.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Nishant Kumr Jha, AC to SC- 28

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

3 07-02-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
counsel for the State.

Mr. Umesh Kumar Mishra, counsel for the petitiones
submits that the case of the petitioner is covered by the judgment
of this Court contained in Annexures-8 and 9 to this application.

In view of the fact that the Co-ordinate Bench of this
Court has already decided the issue vide order dated 03.03.2017



passed in C.W.J.C. No. 12350 of 2016 and order dated 11.05.2017 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 417 of 2015 and order dated 04.12.2017 passed in C.W.J.C. No.17657 of 2017, the writ application is also disposed of with a direction to the respondent District Programme Officer (Establishment), Siwan, who shall examine the case of the petitioner and if the case of the petitioner is found similar to that of petitioners in C.W.J.C. No. 12350 of 2016, C.W.J.C. No. 417 of 2015 and C.W.J.C. No.17657 of 2017, similar benefits should be extended to these petitioner also. Such decision must be taken within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

In the event, the respondent does not find the case of the petitioner similar to other petitioners of other writ applications, the respondent is required to assign the specific reason for refusing such benefits to these petitioner.

With the aforesaid, the writ application stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Uday/-

U			
---	--	--	--

