

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.1818 of 2017

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1652 of 2008

=====

Rajendra Mahto son of Late Bangali Mahto resident of village Binda, Police Station Mushari, District Muzaffarpur.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Director, Consolidation, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Joint Director Consolidation, Muzaffarpur.
4. The Deputy Director of Consolidation, Muzaffarpur.
5. The Consolidation Officer, Mushahari, P.S. Mushahari, District Muzaffarpur.
6. Most. Prema Devi W/o Late Tilak Prasad Sharma
7. Bharat Prasad Sharma
8. Pankaj Pd. Sharma
9. Rajesh Pd. Sharma all sons of Late Tilak Prasad Sharma
10. Munni Devi
11. Nutan Devi
12. Miki Kumari, all daughters of Late Bindeshwar Mahto
13. Sumitra Devi daughter of Late Bindeshwar Mahto and wife of Lali Mahto
14. Chandreshwar Mahto son of Late Kusheshwar Mahto
15. Shail Devi, wife of Chandreshwar Mahto respondent nos. 6 to 12 and 14 to 15 are residents of village Binda, P.S. Mushahari, District Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Ramesh Kumar Choudhary
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Md.Khurshid Alam,AAG-12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI
and
HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. NILU AGRAWAL
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI)

2 17-05-2018 Heard counsel for the appellant and counsel for the State.

Perused the impugned order dated 28.11.2017. The learned Single Judge is absolutely correct in his view that if the present appellant is son of one Bengali Mahto, if at all was



aggrieved by the order passed in CWJC No. 533 of 1986 and CWJC No. 5116 of 1985, he should have preferred an L.P.A instead of filing writ application and trying to reopen a settled issue.

The impugned order does not suffer from any infirmity. Appeal is dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

(Nilu Agrawal, J)

Rajesh/Pragya

U			
---	--	--	--

