

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Letters Patent Appeal No.301 of 2018

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1472 of 2017

-
-
1. Anil Kumar, Son of Raghunath Mahto, Resident of Village- Tharhesari, P.O. and P.S. Rahika, District- Madhubani.
 2. Md. Faiyaz, Son of Md. Samim, Resident of Village- Yamsam, P.S. Pandaul, District- Madhubani.
 3. Shailesh Kumar, Son of Chandra Kumar Mishra, Resident of Village Balirajpur, P.S. Babubarhi, District- Madhubani.
 4. Ravi Kumar, Son of late Arun Prasad, resident of Mohalla- Bata Chowk, Ward No. 17, Suraganj, P.S.- Madhubani (Town) District- Madhubani.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Home Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Finance Secretary Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Registrar General, Hon'ble High Court, Patna.
4. The Registrar Vigilance, Hon'ble High Court, Patna.
5. The Appointment Committee of Civil Court Madhubani through the District Sessions Judge Madhubani.
6. The Registrar Civil Court, Civil Court Madhubani.
7. Umesh Kumar Thakur, Ugan Thakur, R/o- Village- Shambhuar, P.S. Madhubani (Town), District- Madhubani
8. Krishna Kumar Rai, S/o- Mohan Rai, R/o- Village- Uzan, Madhopur, Ward No. 5, P.S. Shakipur, District- Darbhanga

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar Jha, Advocate

For the Respondent/s :

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 27-06-2018

The writ petition in question was filed challenging the selection process initiated by making vague and unspecified allegations and finding the irregularities not to be satisfied, the learned Writ Court has refused to interfere into the matter. Now



with regard to the selection in question in the backdrop of the nature of allegation made which is not specific without giving any details, even the nature of allegations and particulars of the candidate, we see no reason to make any indulgence into the matter. The Writ Court has rightly dismissed the writ petition. We find no error in the order passed by the Writ Court warranting reconsideration on the basis of vague and unspecified allegations of irregularities and indulgence into the matter exercising extraordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution is not called for. Apart from the fact that the allegations have not also been specified, even the selected candidates have not been impleaded as a party, therefore, the writ petition itself was not maintainable.

The Letters Patent Appeal is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

P.K.P./-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	30.06.2018
Transmission Date	

